UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,059	11/25/2003	Andrej S. Mitrovic	071469-0306508 4196 (PC0187A)		
	7590 03/25/200 TRON U.S. HOLDING	EXAMINER			
4350 W. CHANDLER BLVD. SUITE 10 CHANDLER, AZ 85226			FORD, NATHAN K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
N .:	10/720,059	MITROVIC, ANDREJ S.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NATHAN K. FORD	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does to but it does to but it does to	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the						
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.	(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR				
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:						
On March 12, 2008, an phone message concerning representative but was not returned.	the status of the application was	delivered to the applicant's				
/N. K. F./	/Karla Moore/					
Examiner, Art Unit 1792	Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 1792				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to				